## IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND DIVISION

In re:	)	Chapter 11
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC.,	)	Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)
	)	(Jointly Administered)
Debtor.	)	
	)	Hon. Kevin R. Huennekens

# ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM REQUEST OF GOLDENBERG MANAGEMENT, INC., AS AGENT FOR RED ROSE COMMONS ASSOCIATES, L.P., PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 365(d)(3) AND 503(a) AND (b)

Goldenberg Management, Inc. as agent for Red Rose Commons Associates, L.P. ("Red Rose"), by and through its undersigned attorneys, hereby files this request for allowance of administrative expense obligations pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 365(d)(3) and 503(a) and (b) with respect to claims arising under a nonresidential real property lease between Red Rose, as lessor, and the above-captioned debtor (the "Debtor"), as lessee, and respectfully represents in support thereof as follows:

#### **BACKGROUND**

- 1. On November 10, 2008 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtor filed a voluntary petition pursuant to Chapter 11 of the Title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code").
- 2. Since the Petition Date, the Debtor has continued in the operation of its business of management of its properties as a debtor-in-possession pursuant to Sections §§ 1107 and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 3. Pursuant to a lease dated as of November 26, 1997 between Red Rose, as lessor, and the Debtor, as lessee, as such lease has been amended from time to time (the "Lease"), the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Red Rose seeks only allowance of its administrative expense claim herein, consistent with this Court's Memorandum Opinion entered on February 12, 2009 at Docket Number 2107.

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 2430 Filed 03/05/09 Entered 03/05/09 16:32:29 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 7

Debtor leases retail space at the Red Rose Commons Shopping Center, located in Lancaster, Pennsylvania (the "Shopping Center").

4. Debtor has continued to occupy and use the leasehold premises at the Shopping Center since the Petition Date, but has failed to pay the following post-petition rent and other charges owing under the terms of the Lease:

Expenses Category	<u>Amount</u>
Base Rent (11/10/08-11/30/08)	\$30,194.55
Common Area Maintenance Escrow (11/10/08-11/30/08)	\$1,034.93
Water and Sewer Escrow (11/10/08-11/30/08)	\$40.04
City of Lancaster Tax (1/1/09-3/31/09)	\$4,946.32
County of Lancaster Tax (1/1/09-3/31/09)	\$1,752.76
TOTAL	<u>\$37,968.60</u>

- 5. Pursuant to § 365(d)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtor became and remains liable for the post-petition rent and related lease obligations arising under the Lease. Specifically, post-petition rent, common area maintenance and other obligations reserved under the Lease are required to be satisfied timely and in full pursuant to § 365(d)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code, which provides in relevant part as follows:
  - (3) The trustee shall timely perform all of the obligations of the debtor, except those specified in section 365(b)(2), arising from and after the order for relief under any unexpired lease of nonresidential real property, until such lease is assumed or rejected, notwithstanding section 503(b)(1) of this title...

- 6. As set forth above, the Debtor's estate is liable for the specified obligations arising under the Lease in the amount of \$37,968.60, which is an administrative expense of the Debtor's estate under § 365(d)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 7. In addition to Red Rose's entitlement to the foregoing sum as an administrative expense claim under § 365(d)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code, Red Rose is also entitled to the allowance of such amount under § 503(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, which provides in relevant part as follows:

After a notice and a hearing, there shall be allowed, administrative expenses, ... including –

- (1)(A) the actual, necessary costs and expenses of preserving the estate, including-
- (i) wages, salaries and commissions for services rendered after the commencement of the case ...
- 8. In order to qualify for administrative expense status under § 503(b), the claimant must demonstrate that the claim was incurred post-petition and that the claim is an actual, necessary expense of the estate. In re O'Brien Environmental Energy, Inc., 181 F.3d 527 (3d Cir. 1999). A lessor seeking administrative expense priority for a post-petition rent claim need not demonstrate that the "debtor's continued possession of its space is a benefit to the estate" in order to prevail on its administrative claim. In re Trak Auto Corp., 277 B.R. 655, 665 (Bankr. E.D.Va. 2002), rev'd on other grounds, 367 F.3d 237 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2004). Here, Red Rose's claim for obligations arising post-petition is an appropriate administrative expense claim under § 503(b) since, among other things, the Debtor has used and occupied the leasehold premises since the Petition Date.
- 9. It is well established that, absent evidence to the contrary, the "amount fixed by the lease is presumed to represent the reasonable value of the use and occupancy of the premises." In re Section 20 Land Group, Ltd., 261 B.R. 711, 717 (Bankr. M.D. Fla. 2000)

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 2430 Filed 03/05/09 Entered 03/05/09 16:32:29 Desc Main

Page 4 of 7 Document

(citing In re Litho Specialties, Inc., 154 B.R. 733, 740 (D. Minn. 1993)). Accordingly, the rent

and related obligations reserved under the Lease are presumptive evidence of the fair and

reasonable value of the Debtor's interest in the leasehold during the period covered by the claim

asserted herein. See, In re Silicon Valley Telecom Exchange, LLC, 284 B.R. 700 (Bankr. N.D.

Cal. 2002); In re Sanborn, Inc., 181 B.R. 683 (Bankr. D. Mass. 1995) (the reasonable value for

the use of the premises is the lease contract rate absent evidence that the contract rate is

unreasonable).

**CONCLUSION** 

10. For the foregoing reasons, Red Rose is entitled to the allowance of an

administrative expense claim in the amount of \$37,968.60, as reflected in the foregoing

calculations.

WHEREFORE, Red Rose respectfully requests the entry of an order pursuant to 11

U.S.C. §§ 365(d)(3) and 503(a) and (b) allowing as an administrative expense obligation against

the Debtor's estate a claim in the amount of \$37,968.60, together with such other and further

relief as is just and proper.

Dated: March 9, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

COHEN BALDINGER & GREENFELD, LLC

7910 Woodmont Avenue, Suite 760

Bethesda, MD 20814

Telephone: (301) 881-8300

By: /s/ Steven H. Greenfeld

Steven H. Greenfeld, Esquire, VSB No. 30332

-and-

4

Jeffrey Kurtzman, Esquire KLEHR, HARRISON, HARVEY, BRANZBURG & ELLERS, LLP 260 S. Broad Street Philadelphia, PA 19102 Telephone: (215) 569-4493

Co-Counsel to Goldenberg Management, Inc., as agent for Red Rose Commons Associates, L.P.

### IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND DIVISION

In re:	)	Chapter 11
	)	
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC.,	)	Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)
	)	(Jointly Administered)
Debtor.	)	
	)	Hon. Kevin R. Huennekens

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing Administrative Claim Request of Goldenberg Management, Inc., as agent for Red Rose Commons Associates, L.P., Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 365(d)(3) and 503(a) and (b) was served via first-class mail, postage prepaid, or served electronically via the CM/ECF system, this 9<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2009 to the following:

Daniel F. Blanks, Esquire McGuire Woods LLP 9000 World Trade Center 101 W. Main St. Norfolk, VA 23510

Gregg M. Galardi, Esquire Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom LLP One Rodney Square PO Box 636 Wilmington, DE 19899

Robert V. Van Arsdale Office of the U.S. Trustee 701 East Broad Street Suite 4303 Richmond, VA 23219 Alan J. Kornfeld, Esquire Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones, LLP 10100 Santa Monica Blvd. 11<sup>th</sup> Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067-4100

Lynn L. Tavenner, Esquire Tavenner & Beran, PLC 20 North Eighth Street Second Floor Richmond, VA 23219

> <u>/s/ Steven H. Greenfeld</u> STEVEN H. GREENFELD